

Phase coherent states with circular Jacobi polynomials for the pseudoharmonic oscillator

Zouhair Mouayn

Citation: *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 012103 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.3675914

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3675914>

View Table of Contents: <http://jmp.aip.org/resource/1/JMAPAQ/v53/i1>

Published by the [American Institute of Physics](#).

Related Articles

Classical ladder operators, polynomial Poisson algebras, and classification of superintegrable systems

J. Math. Phys. **53**, 012901 (2012)

Unified $(p, q; , , ;)$ deformed oscillator algebra: Irreducible representations and induced deformed harmonic oscillator

J. Math. Phys. **53**, 013504 (2012)

Quasi-coherent states for harmonic oscillator with time-dependent parameters

J. Math. Phys. **53**, 012102 (2012)

Relativistic and nonrelativistic bound states of the isotonic oscillator by Nikiforov-Uvarov method

J. Math. Phys. **52**, 122108 (2011)

Prepotential approach to solvable rational extensions of Harmonic Oscillator and Morse potentials

J. Math. Phys. **52**, 122107 (2011)

Additional information on *J. Math. Phys.*

Journal Homepage: <http://jmp.aip.org/>

Journal Information: http://jmp.aip.org/about/about_the_journal

Top downloads: http://jmp.aip.org/features/most_downloaded

Information for Authors: <http://jmp.aip.org/authors>

ADVERTISEMENT

**AIP**Advances

Submit Now

**Explore AIP's new
open-access journal**

- **Article-level metrics
now available**
- **Join the conversation!
Rate & comment on articles**

Phase coherent states with circular Jacobi polynomials for the pseudoharmonic oscillator

Zouhaïr Mouayn^{a)}

Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Faculty of Sciences and Technics (M'Ghila),
P.O. Box 523, Béni Mellal, Morocco

(Received 27 April 2011; accepted 15 December 2011; published online 10 January 2012)

We construct a class of generalized phase coherent states $|e^{i\theta}\rangle$; $\gamma, \alpha, \varepsilon >$, indexed by points $e^{i\theta}$ of the unit circle and depending on three positive parameters γ, α , and ε , by replacing the labeling coefficient $z^n/\sqrt{n!}$ of the canonical coherent states by circular Jacobi polynomials $g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})$ with parameter $\gamma \geq 0$. The special case of $\gamma = 0$ corresponds to the well-known phase coherent states. The constructed states are superposition of eigenstates of a one-parameter pseudoharmonic oscillator depending on α and constitute a resolution of the identity of the state Hilbert space at the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Closed form for their wavefunctions are obtained in the case $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ and their associated coherent states transforms are defined. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3675914]

I. INTRODUCTION

The first phase states which appeared in quantum mechanics were the London phase states¹

$$|e^{i\theta}\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{in\theta} |n\rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

which actually possess infinite energy and can be approached by physical states in different ways. Consequently, different phase-enhanced states have been proposed and studied in the literature; see Refs. 2 and 3, and references therein.

The phase analogue of coherent states have been introduced as eigenstates of the Susskind-Glogower operator⁴

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{SG}} := \sum_{n \geq 0} |n\rangle \langle n+1|. \quad (1.2)$$

There are the so-called phase coherent states (PCS), whose expression in the Fock basis is given by

$$|\rho e^{i\theta}\rangle = (1 - \rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho^n e^{in\theta} |n\rangle; \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1 \quad (1.3)$$

and represent realistic states of radiation, namely, they possess finite energy and can be synthesized by suitable nonlinear process.⁵ They can also be viewed as a special case of negative binomial states⁶ or Perelomov's $su(1, 1)$ -coherent states via its Holstein-Primakoff realization with $\frac{1}{2}$ as Bargmann index.⁷

In this paper, we construct a class of generalized phase coherent states (GPCS) labeled by points $e^{i\theta}$ of the unit circle S^1 and depending on three positive parameters: γ, α , and ε . These states belong to the state Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ of the Hamiltonian with pseudoharmonic oscillator potential (PHO) given by⁽⁸⁾,

$$\Delta_a := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + \frac{a}{x^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

^{a)}Electronic mail: mouayn@fstbm.ac.ma.

where $a > 0$ is such that $1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+4a} = \alpha$. We precisely adopt a formalism of canonical coherent states when written as superpositions of the harmonic oscillator number states. That is, we present a GPCS as a superposition of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian in (1.4). In this superposition, the role of coefficients $z^n/\sqrt{n!}$ is played by the circular Jacobi polynomials (p. 230 of Ref. 9, Refs. 10 and 11) given, up to a normalization, by

$$g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta}) := (n!)^{-1} (\gamma + 1)_n {}_2F_1\left(n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \middle| \gamma + 1 \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right), \quad (1.5)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function and $(\cdot)_n$ denotes the shifted factorial. These orthogonal polynomials arise in a class of random matrix ensembles, where the parameter γ is related to the charge of an impurity fixed at $z = 1$ in a system of unit charges located on S^1 at the complex values given by eigenvalues of a member of this matrix ensemble.^{9,12}

The class of GPCS we are introducing contains the form of the well-known PCS in (1.3) as a special case $\gamma = 0$. A resolution of the identity of the state Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ carrying the GPCS is given at the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ by a method similar to what we used in our previous work.¹³ Furthermore, if we link γ with the parameter α controlling the singular part of PHO potential in (1.4) by $\alpha = \gamma + 1$, then we can establish a closed form for the constructed states. In this case, we propose a suitable definition for the associated coherent states transform and we check that it maps the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian Δ_a , which are defined on \mathbb{R}_+ onto the normalized circular Jacobi polynomials defined on S^1 .

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we recall briefly some needed spectral properties of the Hamiltonian with PHO potential. Section III is devoted to the coherent states formalism we will be using. This formalism is applied in Sec. IV to construct a class of phase coherent states in the state Hilbert space of the Hamiltonian. In Sec. V, we give a closed form for these states and we discuss their associated coherent states transform.

II. THE PSEUDOHARMONIC OSCILLATOR

The PHO potential was defined in Refs. 8 and 14 and studied by many authors (see Ref. 15, and references therein). It can be used to calculate the vibrational energies of a diatomic molecules, with the form

$$V_{\varrho, \kappa_0}(x) := \varrho \left(\frac{x}{\kappa_0} - \frac{\kappa_0}{x} \right)^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\kappa_0 > 0$ denotes the equilibrium bond length which is the distance between the diatomic nuclei, and $\varrho > 0$ with $F = \varrho\kappa_0^{-2}$ represents a constant force. The associated stationary Schrödinger equation reads

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi(x) + \varrho \left(\frac{x}{\kappa_0} - \frac{\kappa_0}{x} \right)^2 \psi(x) = \lambda \psi(x) \quad (2.2)$$

with $\psi(0) = 0$, namely, ψ satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition. It is an exactly solvable equation. Indeed, according to p. 11288 of Ref. 16, the energy spectrum is given by

$$\lambda_n^{\varrho, \kappa_0} := 4\kappa_0^{-1} \sqrt{\varrho} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{1 + 4\varrho\kappa_0^2} - 2\kappa_0\sqrt{\varrho} \right) \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

whereas the wavefunctions of the exact solutions of (2.2) take the form

$$\langle x | n; \varrho, \kappa_0 \rangle \propto x^q \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{2\kappa_0} x^2\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{-n}{q+1} \middle| \frac{\sqrt{\varrho}}{2\kappa_0} x^2\right), \quad (2.4)$$

where $q = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\varrho\kappa_0^2} \right)$ and ${}_1F_1$ denotes the confluent hypergeometric function which can also be expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials as (p. 240 of Ref. 17)

$${}_1F_1\left(\frac{-n}{v} \middle| u\right) = \frac{n!}{(v)_n} L_n^{(v-1)}(u), \quad (2.5)$$

where the shifted factorial may also be defined by the Euler gamma function as

$$(\nu)_0 = 1, \quad (\nu)_n = \nu(\nu+1)\cdots(\nu+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+n)}{\Gamma(\nu)}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

To simplify the notation, we introduce the parameter $a := \varrho\kappa_0^2$ and we put $\kappa_0^{-1}\sqrt{\varrho} = 1$. Thereby, the Hamiltonian in (2.2) takes the form given in (1.4) by the operator Δ_a which is also called isotonic oscillator¹⁸ or Gol'dman-Krivchenkov Hamiltonian.¹⁶ The spectrum of Δ_a in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ reduces to its discrete part consisting of eigenvalues of the form pp. 9–10 of Ref. 19,

$$\lambda_n^\alpha := 2(2n + \alpha), \quad \alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4a}; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.7)$$

and wavefunctions of the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions are given by

$$\langle x | n; \alpha \rangle := \left(\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} L_n^{(\alpha-1)}(x^2); \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.8)$$

The set of functions in (2.8) constitutes a complete orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$.

Remark 2.1: We should note that the eigenvalue problem for the PHO can also be considered by using raising and lowering operators throughout a factorization of the Hamiltonian Δ_a in (1.4) based on the Lie algebra $su(1, 1)$ commutation relations.¹⁵

III. A COHERENT STATES FORMALISM

In general, coherent states are a specific overcomplete family of vectors in the Hilbert space of the problem that describes the quantum phenomena and is a resolution of the identity of this Hilbert space. These states have long been known for the harmonic oscillator and their properties have frequently been taken as models for defining this notion for other models.²⁰ In this section, we adopt the generalization of canonical coherent states as in Ref. 13, which extend a well-known generalization¹⁸ by considering a kind of a resolution of the identity that we obtain as a limit with respect to a certain parameter. Precisely, we propose the following formalism.

Definition 3.1: Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$. Let $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset of \mathbb{C} and let $\Phi_n : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of complex functions. Define

$$|z, \varepsilon\rangle := (N_\varepsilon(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Phi_n(z)}{\sqrt{\sigma_\varepsilon(n)}} |\psi_n\rangle; \quad z \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $N_\varepsilon(z)$ is a normalization factor and $\sigma_\varepsilon(n); \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, a sequence of positive numbers depending on $\varepsilon > 0$. The set of vectors $\{|z, \varepsilon\rangle, z \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ is said to form a set of generalized coherent states, if

- (i) for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{D}$, the state $|z, \varepsilon\rangle$ is normalized, that is, $\langle z, \varepsilon | z, \varepsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$,
- (ii) the states $\{|z, \varepsilon\rangle, z \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ satisfy the following resolution of the identity:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathfrak{D}} |z, \varepsilon\rangle \langle z, \varepsilon| d\mu_\varepsilon(z) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $d\mu_\varepsilon$ is an appropriately chosen measure and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the identity operator on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Note that in the above definition, the Dirac's *bra-ket* notation $|z, \varepsilon\rangle \langle z, \varepsilon|$ means the rank-one-operator $\varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi | z, \varepsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} |z, \varepsilon\rangle$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Also, the limit in (ii) is to be understood as follows:

Define the operator

$$\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon [\varphi] (\cdot) := \left(\int_{\mathfrak{D}} |z, \varepsilon \rangle \langle z, \varepsilon| d\mu_\varepsilon (z) \right) [\varphi] (\cdot). \tag{3.3}$$

Then the above limit (3.2) means that $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon [\varphi] (\cdot) \rightarrow \varphi (\cdot)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, almost everywhere with respect to (\cdot) .

Remark 3.2: The formula (3.1) can be considered as a generalization of the series expansion of the canonical coherent states

$$|z \rangle := \left(e^{|z|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{z^k}{\sqrt{k!}} |k \rangle; \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.4}$$

with $|k \rangle; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, being an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\xi)$ of eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator, which is given by the wavefunctions $\langle \xi | k \rangle := \left(\sqrt{\pi} 2^k k! \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2} H_k(\xi)$, where $H_k(\cdot)$ denotes the k th Hermite polynomial.¹⁷

IV. GENERALIZED PHASE COHERENT STATES

In this section, we will construct a set of normalized states labeled by points $e^{i\theta}$ of the unit circle $S^1 = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C}, |\omega| = 1\}$ and depending on positive parameters γ, α , and $\varepsilon > 0$. These states, denoted by $|e^{i\theta}; \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle$, will belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$, the state Hilbert space of the Hamiltonian Δ_a in (1.4) as mentioned in the Introduction.

Definition 4.1: Define a set of states $|e^{i\theta}; \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle$ labeled by points $e^{i\theta} \in S^1, \theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and depending on the parameters $\gamma \geq 0, \alpha > \frac{3}{2}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ by

$$|e^{i\theta}; \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle := \left(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (\theta) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{g_n^\gamma (e^{i\theta})}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (n)}} |n; \alpha \rangle \tag{4.1}$$

with the following precisions:

- $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (\theta)$ is a normalization factor such that $\langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle = 1$.
- $g_n^\gamma (e^{i\theta})$ are the circular Jacobi polynomials defined in (1.5).
- $\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (n); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are the positive numbers given by

$$\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (n) := (n!)^{-1} (\gamma + 1)_n e^{n\varepsilon}. \tag{4.2}$$

- $|n; \alpha \rangle; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is the orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ given in (2.8).

We shall give the main properties on these states in two propositions.

Proposition 4.2: Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed parameters. Then, the normalization factor in (4.1) has the expression

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (\theta) = \frac{(1 - e^{-\varepsilon})}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon + i\theta}|^{2 + \gamma}} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \\ \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{e^{-\varepsilon} |1 - e^{i\theta}|^2}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon + i\theta}|^2} \right) \tag{4.3}$$

for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Proof: To calculate this factor, we start by writing the condition

$$1 = \langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle. \tag{4.4}$$

Equation (4.4) is equivalent to

$$\left(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (\theta) \right)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon} (n)} g_n^\gamma (e^{i\theta}) \overline{g_n^\gamma (e^{i\theta})} = 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Inserting the expression (4.2) into (4.5), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{n! e^{-n\varepsilon}}{(\gamma+1)_n} g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}. \quad (4.6)$$

Explicitly, the sum in (4.6) reads

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\gamma+1)_n}{n! e^{n\varepsilon}} {}_2F_1\left(-n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \middle| \gamma + 1 \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right) {}_2F_1\left(-n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \middle| \gamma + 1 \middle| 1 - e^{-i\theta}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

Making use of the formula (p. 85 of Ref. 21)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(c)_n r^n}{n!} {}_2F_1\left(-n, a \middle| c \middle| \xi\right) {}_2F_1\left(-n, b \middle| c \middle| \zeta\right) &= \frac{(1-r)^{a+b-c}}{(1-r+\xi r)^a (1-r+\zeta r)^b} \\ &\times {}_2F_1\left(a, b \middle| \frac{r\xi\zeta}{(1-r+\xi r)(1-r+\zeta r)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

for $c = \gamma + 1$, $r = e^{-\varepsilon}$, $a = b = \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1$, $\xi = 1 - e^{i\theta}$, and $\zeta = \bar{\xi}$, we arrive at the expression

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) = \frac{(1 - e^{-\varepsilon})}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon+i\theta}|^{2+\gamma}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \middle| \gamma + 1 \middle| \frac{e^{-\varepsilon} |1 - e^{i\theta}|^2}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon+i\theta}|^2}\right). \quad (4.9)$$

This ends the proof. \square

As mentioned in the Introduction, if we consider the case $\gamma = 0$, then one can check that the quantity in (4.9) equals to $\mathcal{N}_{0,\varepsilon}(\theta) = (1 - e^{-\varepsilon})^{-1}$ and the sequence of numbers in (4.2) becomes $\sigma_{0,\varepsilon}(n) = e^{n\varepsilon}$, while the circular Jacobi polynomials in (1.5) reduces to

$$g_n^0(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{(1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1\left(-n, 1 \middle| 1 \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right) = e^{in\theta}. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, setting $\rho = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon} < 1$, the constructed states take the form

$$|e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon(\rho), 0, \alpha\rangle = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho^n e^{in\theta} |n, \alpha\rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

The latter can be considered as a phase coherent state expressed in the basis of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with PHO potential, whose form is identical to the PCS in (1.3).

Proposition 4.3: The states $|e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha\rangle$ satisfy the following resolution of the identity:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha\rangle \langle \alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon, e^{i\theta}| d\mu_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) = \mathbf{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)}$ is the identity operator and $d\mu_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta)$ is a measure on $[0, 2\pi]$ with the expression

$$d\mu_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) := 2^\gamma \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\gamma \mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad (4.13)$$

$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta)$ being the normalization factor given explicitly in (4.9).

Proof: Let us assume that the measure takes the form

$$d\mu_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) = \mathcal{N}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) \Omega_\gamma(\theta) d\theta, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\Omega_\gamma(\theta)$ is an auxiliary density to be determined. Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ and let us start by writing the following action:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}[\varphi] := \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha\rangle \langle \alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon, e^{i\theta}| d\mu_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\theta) \right) [\varphi], \quad (4.15)$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \varphi | e^{i\theta}; \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon, e^{i\theta} | d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta). \tag{4.16}$$

Making use of Eq. (4.1), we obtain successively

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}[\varphi] = \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \varphi | (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)}} | n; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon, e^{i\theta} | d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta), \tag{4.17}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)}} \langle \varphi | n; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \gamma, \varepsilon, e^{i\theta} | (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta), \tag{4.18}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{m, n \geq 0} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})} g_m^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)} \sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(m)}} | n; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha; m | (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{-1} d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta) \right) [\varphi]. \tag{4.19}$$

Replace $d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta) = \mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta) \Omega_\gamma(\theta) d\theta$, then Eq. (4.19) takes the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \alpha, \varepsilon} = \sum_{m, n \geq 0} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})} g_m^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)} \sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(m)}} \Omega_\gamma(\theta) d\theta \right] | n; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha; m |. \tag{4.20}$$

Then, we need to consider the integral

$$I_{n, m}(\gamma, \varepsilon) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)} \sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(m)}} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})} g_m^\gamma(e^{i\theta}) \Omega_\gamma(\theta) d\theta. \tag{4.21}$$

We recall the orthogonality relations of circular Jacobi polynomials (p. 875 of Ref. 10),

$$2^\gamma \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})} g_m^\gamma(e^{i\theta}) \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\gamma dx = \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{n!} \delta_{n, m}. \tag{4.22}$$

This suggests to us to set

$$\Omega_\gamma(\theta) := 2^\gamma \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\gamma \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \tag{4.23}$$

Therefore, (4.21) reduces to

$$I_{n, m}(\gamma, \varepsilon) = e^{-\varepsilon n} \frac{m! \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{n! \Gamma(m + \gamma + 1)} \delta_{n, m} \tag{4.24}$$

which means that the operator in (4.15) takes the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \alpha, \varepsilon} \equiv \mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} e^{-n\varepsilon} \frac{m! \Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{n! \Gamma(m + \gamma + 1)} \delta_{n, m} | n; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha; m |, \tag{4.25}$$

$$= \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} | m; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha; m |. \tag{4.26}$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}[\varphi] = \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} (| m; \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha; m |) [\varphi]. \tag{4.27}$$

For $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we can write

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}[\varphi](u) = \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} \langle \varphi | m; \alpha \rangle \langle u | m; \alpha \rangle, \tag{4.28}$$

$$= \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(v) \overline{\langle v | m; \alpha \rangle} dv \right) \langle u | m; \alpha \rangle, \tag{4.29}$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(v) \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} \overline{\langle v | m; \alpha \rangle} \langle u | m; \alpha \rangle \right) dv. \quad (4.30)$$

We are then led to calculate the sum

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\alpha(u, v) := \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} \overline{\langle v | m; \alpha \rangle} \langle u | m; \alpha \rangle. \quad (4.31)$$

For this we recall the expression of the eigenstate $|m; \alpha\rangle$ in (2.8). So that the above sum in (4.31) reads

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\alpha(u, v) = 2(vu)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)} \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{-m\varepsilon} \frac{m!}{\Gamma(m+\alpha)} L_m^{(\alpha-1)}(u^2) L_m^{(\alpha-1)}(v^2). \quad (4.32)$$

Equation (4.32) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\alpha(u, v) = 2(uv)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)} K(e^{-\varepsilon}; u^2, v^2), \quad (4.33)$$

where we have introduced the kernel function

$$K(\tau; \xi, \zeta) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \tau^m \frac{m!}{\Gamma(m+\alpha)} L_m^{(\alpha-1)}(\xi) L_m^{(\alpha-1)}(\zeta); \quad 0 < \tau < 1. \quad (4.34)$$

The latter can be written in a closed form by applying the Hille-Hardy formula.¹⁷ Now, returning back to Eq. (4.30) and taking into account (4.33), we get that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}[\varphi](u) = 2u^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} \int_0^{+\infty} v^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2} K(e^{-\varepsilon}, u^2, v^2) \varphi(v) dv. \quad (4.35)$$

Next, we split the right-hand side of Eq. (4.35)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}[\varphi](u) = \vartheta_\alpha(u) M[\varphi](u), \quad (4.36)$$

where

$$M[\varphi](u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} K(\tau; w, s) h(s) s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds \quad (4.37)$$

with $\tau = e^{-\varepsilon}$, $w = u^2$, and

$$h(s) := s^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{1}{2}s} \varphi(\sqrt{s}). \quad (4.38)$$

By direct calculations, one can check that $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds)$. Precisely, we have that

$$\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds)}^2 = 2 \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2. \quad (4.39)$$

We now apply the result of Muckenhoopt²² who considered the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, s^\eta e^{-s} ds)$, $\eta > -1$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ defined by

$$A[f](\tau, w) := \int_0^{+\infty} K(\tau, w, s) f(s) s^\eta e^{-s} ds; \quad 0 < \tau < 1, \quad (4.40)$$

with the kernel $K(\tau, \cdot, \cdot)$ defined as in (4.34). He proved that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 1^-} A[f](\tau, y) = f(y)$ almost everywhere in $[0, +\infty[$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We apply this result in the case $p = 2$, $f = h$, and $A \equiv M$ to obtain that

$$M[\varphi](u) \rightarrow 2^{-1} h(u^2) = 2^{-1} u^{-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}u^2} \varphi(u). \quad (4.41)$$

Recalling that $\tau = e^{-\varepsilon}$, we get that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}[\varphi](u) = \vartheta_\alpha(u) M[\varphi](u) \rightarrow \varphi(u) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (4.42)$$

which means that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha \rangle \langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma, \alpha | d\mu_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta) = \mathbf{1}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)}. \tag{4.43}$$

This ends the proof. □

V. A CLOSE FORM FOR THE GPCS WAVEFUNCTIONS

Now, we assume that the parameter γ occurring in the definition of the circular Jacobi polynomials $g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})$ in (1.5) is connected to the parameter α controlling the singular part ax^{-2} of the Hamiltonian Δ_a in (1.4) by $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ which means that we are taking $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4a}$. Then, we can establish a closed form for the constructed GPCS as follows.

Proposition 5.1: Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4a}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed parameters. Then, the wavefunctions of the states $|e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle$ defined in (4.1) can be written in a closed form as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle = & \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + i\theta}\right)^{-1} x^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} \coth \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}}{1 + \gamma} \middle| \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta})e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon} x^2}{(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon})(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + i\theta})}\right)}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \left(\left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + i\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - e^{-\varepsilon})}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon + i\theta}|^{2 + \gamma}}}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1}{\gamma + 1} \middle| \frac{e^{-\varepsilon} |1 - e^{i\theta}|^2}{|1 - e^{-\varepsilon + i\theta}|^2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof: We start by writing the expression of the wavefunction of the state $|e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle$ according to Definition (4.1) as

$$\langle x | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle = (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)}} \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{5.2}$$

We have thus to look for a closed form of the series

$$\mathcal{S}(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)}} \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle, \tag{5.3}$$

which also reads

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\gamma + 1)_n}{n! \sqrt{\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)}} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \\ \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right) \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle. \tag{5.4}$$

Replacing $\sigma_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(n)$ and $\langle x | n; \alpha \rangle$ by their expressions in (4.2) and (2.8) respectively, then Eq. (5.4) reads

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2} x^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}} \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \\ \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right) L_n^{(\gamma)}(x^2). \tag{5.5}$$

Put $\tau := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$, $|\tau| < 1$. Then Eq. (5.5) becomes

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2} x^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}} \mathfrak{S}(x), \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \tau^n {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n, \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1 \\ \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta}\right) L_n^{(\gamma)}(x^2). \tag{5.7}$$

Next, we use the bilinear generating function (p. 213 of Ref. 23),

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, c \\ 1 + \nu \end{matrix} \middle| y \right) L_n^{(\nu)}(u) = (1 - t)^{-1+c-\nu} (1 - t + yt)^{-c} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\times \exp \left(\frac{-ut}{1 - t} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} c \\ 1 + \nu \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{yut}{(1 - t)(1 - t + yt)} \right)$$

for $t = \tau$, $c = \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1$, $y = 1 - e^{i\theta}$, $\nu = \gamma$, and $u = x^2$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(x) = (1 - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} (1 - \tau e^{i\theta})^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} \tag{5.9}$$

$$\times \exp \left(\frac{-\tau x^2}{1 - \tau} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ 1 + \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta}) \tau x^2}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau e^{i\theta})} \right).$$

Summarizing the above calculations as

$$\langle x | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle = (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{2} x^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}} \mathfrak{S}(x), \tag{5.10}$$

we arrive at

$$\langle x | e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2} x^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} (1 - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} (\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta))^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \tau e^{i\theta})^{1+\frac{1}{2}\gamma}} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\times \exp \left(\frac{-\tau x^2}{1 - \tau} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ 1 + \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta}) \tau x^2}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau e^{i\theta})} \right).$$

Finally, we replace τ by $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$ and the factor $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta)$ by its expression in (4.3) to obtain (5.1). \square

Naturally, once we have obtained a closed form for the GPCS $|e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma\rangle$ we can look for the associated coherent state transform. In view of (4.1), this transform should map the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ spanned by the eigenstates $|n; \gamma + 1\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian in Δ_a onto the space in which the coefficients $g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta})$ are orthogonal, that is the space $L^2(S^1, d\sigma_\gamma)$ with $d\sigma_\gamma := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin^\gamma \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta$. It should also obey the general form: $\varphi \mapsto \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma | \varphi \rangle$. Recalling that the resolution of the identity, which usually ensures the isometry property of such map, was obtained at the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (4.12), then a convenient definition for such transform could be as follows.

Definition 5.2: Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4a}$ be a fixed parameter. The coherent state transform associated with the GPCS in (4.1) is the map

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx) \rightarrow L^2(S^1, d\sigma_\gamma) \tag{5.12}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma[\varphi](e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}} x^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon})^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon+i\theta})^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}$$

$$\times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2 \coth \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ 1 + \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{(1 - e^{i\theta}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon} x^2}{(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon})(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon+i\theta})} \right) \overline{\varphi(x)} dx.$$

In fact, this definition provides us with a new way of looking at the circular Jacobi polynomials. Indeed, we establish the following precise result.

Proposition 5.3: Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4a}$ be a fixed parameter. Then, the transform \mathcal{W}_γ defined in (5.12) satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma[x \mapsto \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle](e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{\sqrt{(\gamma + 1)_n}} g_n^\gamma(e^{i\theta}), \tag{5.13}$$

for all $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$. In other words, the normalized circular Jacobi polynomials are the images of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian Δ_a under the coherent states transform \mathcal{W}_γ .

Proof: Let us write the transform \mathcal{W}_γ as

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma [x \mapsto \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle] (e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle. \quad (5.14)$$

Next, we make use of the expression of the eigenstates in (2.8) and we shall calculate the quantity

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(\varepsilon)} := \sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma, \varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle e^{i\theta}, \varepsilon, \gamma | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle \quad (5.15)$$

in the right-hand side of Eq. (5.14) without passing to the limit with respect to ε . So, for instance, we set $\tau = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$ and rewrite (5.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{(\varepsilon)} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}x^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}(1-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}(1-\tau e^{i\theta})^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau}\right)x^2\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}{1+\gamma} \middle| \frac{(1-e^{i\theta})\tau x^2}{(1-\tau)(1-\tau e^{i\theta})}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(\gamma+1+n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} L_n^{(\gamma)}(x^2) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

We set

$$\kappa := \frac{(1-e^{i\theta})\tau}{(1-\tau)(1-\tau e^{i\theta})} \quad (5.17)$$

and we rewrite (5.16) as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(\varepsilon)} = \frac{2\sqrt{n!}(1-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}(1-\tau e^{i\theta})^{-1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\sqrt{\Gamma(\gamma+1+n)}} \mathfrak{G}^{(\varepsilon)}, \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G}^{(\varepsilon)} := \int_0^{+\infty} x^{2\gamma+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-\tau}x^2\right) L_n^{(\gamma)}(x^2) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}{1+\gamma} \middle| \kappa x^2\right) dx. \quad (5.19)$$

Writing the Laguerre polynomial in term of the ${}_1F_1$ - sum as in (2.5) and making the change of variable $t = x^2$, then (5.19) takes the form

$$\mathfrak{G}^{(\varepsilon)} = \frac{(\gamma+1)_n}{2n!} \int_0^{+\infty} t^\gamma e^{-\frac{1}{1-\tau}t} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{-n}{1+\gamma} \middle| t\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}{1+\gamma} \middle| \kappa t\right) dt. \quad (5.20)$$

Now, with the help of the formula (p. 823 of Ref. 24),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} t^{c-1} e^{-st} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{\beta}{c} \middle| t\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{b}{c} \middle| \lambda t\right) dt \\ &= \Gamma(c)(s-1)^{-\beta}(s-\lambda)^{-b} s^{\beta+b-c} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\beta, b}{c} \middle| \frac{\lambda}{(s-1)(s-\lambda)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

$\Re c > 0$ and $\Re s > \Re \lambda + 1$, for the parameters: $c = \gamma + 1$, $\beta = -n$, $b = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}$, $s = \frac{1}{1-\tau}$, and $\lambda = \kappa$, Eq. (5.20) becomes

$$\mathfrak{G}^{(\varepsilon)} = \frac{(\gamma+1)_n \Gamma(\gamma+1) \tau^n (1-\tau)^{\gamma+1}}{2n! (1-\kappa(1-\tau))^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{-n, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}{1+\gamma} \middle| \frac{\kappa(1-\tau)^2}{\tau(1-\kappa(1-\tau))}\right). \quad (5.22)$$

Recalling the expression of κ in (5.17), we find that

$$\frac{\kappa(1-\tau)^2}{\tau(1-\kappa(1-\tau))} = 1 - e^{i\theta}. \quad (5.23)$$

We return back to (5.18) and we obtain that

$$Q^{(\varepsilon)} = \tau^n \left(\frac{(\gamma + 1)_n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1 - \tau)^{\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1}}{((1 - \tau e^{i\theta})(1 - \kappa(1 - \tau)))^{1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}}} \quad (5.24)$$

$$\times {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ 1 + \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta} \right). \quad (5.25)$$

Using again (5.17), we find by calculation

$$\frac{(1 - \tau)^{\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1}}{((1 - \tau e^{i\theta})(1 - \kappa(1 - \tau)))^{1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}}} = 1. \quad (5.26)$$

Recalling that $\tau = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}$, we arrive at

$$Q^{(\varepsilon)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon} \left(\frac{(\gamma + 1)_n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ 1 + \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - e^{i\theta} \right). \quad (5.27)$$

If we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, then we obtain that

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma [x \mapsto \langle x | n; \gamma + 1 \rangle] (e^{i\theta}) = \left(\frac{(\gamma + 1)_n}{n!} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_n^\gamma (e^{i\theta}), \quad (5.28)$$

where the in the left-hand side of Eq. (5.28), we have exactly the normalized circular Jacobi polynomials. \square

¹F. London, *Z. Phys.* **40**, 193 (1927).

²A. Wunshe, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt.* **3**, 206 (2001).

³A. V. Chizhov and M. G. A. Paris, *Acta Phys. Slov.* **48**, 343 (1998).

⁴L. Susskind and J. Glogower, *Physics* **1**, 49 (1964).

⁵G. M. D'Ariano, M. F. Sacchi, and M. G. A. Paris, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 4894 (1998).

⁶S. M. Barnett, *J. Mod. Opt.* **A 45**, 2201 (1998).

⁷H.-C. Fu and R. Sasaki, *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **66**, 1989 (1997).

⁸I. I. Gol'dman, V. D. Krivchenkov, V. I. Kogan, and V. M. Gakitskii, *Problems in Quantum Mechanics* (Infosearch, London, 1960).

⁹M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005).

¹⁰L.-C. Shen, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **129**(3), 873 (2000).

¹¹L. D. Abreu, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19**(7-8), 463 (2008).

¹²N. S. Witte and P. J. Forrester, *Nonlinearity* **13**(6), 1965 (2000).

¹³Z. Mouayn, *J. Phys. A* **43**(29), 295201 (2010).

¹⁴I. I. Gol'dman and D. V. Krivchenkov, *Problems in Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon, London, 1961).

¹⁵D. Popov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34**, 5283 (2001).

¹⁶R. L. Hall, N. Saad, and A. B. von Keviczky, *J. Phys. A* **34**(50), 11287 (2001).

¹⁷W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R. P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966).

¹⁸K. Thirulogasanthar and N. Saad, *J. Phys. A* **37**(16), 4567 (2004).

¹⁹R. L. Hall, N. Saad, and A. B. von Kevicsky, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**(1), 94 (2002).

²⁰V. V. Dodonov, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt.* **4**, R1 (2002).

²¹A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. 1.

²²B. Muckenhoupt, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **139**, 231 (1969).

²³E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions* (Macmillan, New York, 1960).

²⁴I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 7th ed. (Academic, New York, 2007).