

# Schatten-type Classes of Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

Uğur Gönüllü

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
İstanbul Kültür University

[u.gonullu@iku.edu.tr](mailto:u.gonullu@iku.edu.tr)

May 20, 2016

# Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

Kaplansky–Hilbert module, which is an object like a Hilbert space except that the inner product is not scalar-valued but takes its values in a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  which is an order complete vector lattice, was introduced by I. Kaplansky [1]. Such a  $C^*$ -algebra is often called a Stone algebra or a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra. I. Kaplansky proved some deep and elegant results for such structures, thereby showing that they have many properties similar to those of the Hilbert spaces.

The generalization of the concept of Kaplansky–Hilbert module is the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module (inner product takes values in a  $C^*$ -algebra) which appeared in the papers of W. Paschke and M. Rieffel.

Kaplansky–Hilbert module, which is an object like a Hilbert space except that the inner product is not scalar-valued but takes its values in a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  which is an order complete vector lattice, was introduced by I. Kaplansky [1]. Such a  $C^*$ -algebra is often called a Stone algebra or a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra. I. Kaplansky proved some deep and elegant results for such structures, thereby showing that they have many properties similar to those of the Hilbert spaces.

The generalization of the concept of Kaplansky–Hilbert module is the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module (inner product takes values in a  $C^*$ -algebra) which appeared in the papers of W. Paschke and M. Rieffel.

Kaplansky–Hilbert module, which is an object like a Hilbert space except that the inner product is not scalar-valued but takes its values in a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  which is an order complete vector lattice, was introduced by I. Kaplansky [1]. Such a  $C^*$ -algebra is often called a Stone algebra or a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra. I. Kaplansky proved some deep and elegant results for such structures, thereby showing that they have many properties similar to those of the Hilbert spaces.

The generalization of the concept of Kaplansky–Hilbert module is the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module (inner product takes values in a  $C^*$ -algebra) which appeared in the papers of W. Paschke and M. Rieffel.

Kaplansky–Hilbert module, which is an object like a Hilbert space except that the inner product is not scalar-valued but takes its values in a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  which is an order complete vector lattice, was introduced by I. Kaplansky [1]. Such a  $C^*$ -algebra is often called a Stone algebra or a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra. I. Kaplansky proved some deep and elegant results for such structures, thereby showing that they have many properties similar to those of the Hilbert spaces.

The generalization of the concept of Kaplansky–Hilbert module is the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module (inner product takes values in a  $C^*$ -algebra) which appeared in the papers of W. Paschke and M. Rieffel.

A. G. Kusraev established functional representations of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules and  $AW^*$ -algebras of type I by spaces of continuous vector-functions and strongly continuous operator-functions, respectively.

Cyclically compact sets and operators in lattice-normed spaces were introduced by A. G. Kusraev and a preliminary study of this notions was initiated. Cyclical compactness is the Boolean-valued interpretation of compactness and it also deserves an independent study. In [5] a general form of cyclically compact operators in Kaplansky–Hilbert modules, which is similar to the Schmidt representation of compact operators on Hilbert spaces, as well as a variant of the Fredholm alternative for cyclically compact operators, were also given with Boolean-valued techniques. Thus, the natural problem arises to investigate the class of cyclically compact operators in more details.

A. G. Kusraev established functional representations of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules and  $AW^*$ -algebras of type I by spaces of continuous vector-functions and strongly continuous operator-functions, respectively.

Cyclically compact sets and operators in lattice-normed spaces were introduced by A. G. Kusraev and a preliminary study of this notions was initiated. Cyclically compactness is the Boolean-valued interpretation of compactness and it also deserves an independent study. In [5] a general form of cyclically compact operators in Kaplansky–Hilbert modules, which is similar to the Schmidt representation of compact operators on Hilbert spaces, as well as a variant of the Fredholm alternative for cyclically compact operators, were also given with Boolean-valued techniques. Thus, the natural problem arises to investigate the class of cyclically compact operators in more details.

A. G. Kusraev established functional representations of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules and  $AW^*$ -algebras of type I by spaces of continuous vector-functions and strongly continuous operator-functions, respectively.

Cyclically compact sets and operators in lattice-normed spaces were introduced by A. G. Kusraev and a preliminary study of this notions was initiated. Cyclical compactness is the Boolean-valued interpretation of compactness and it also deserves an independent study. In [5] a general form of cyclically compact operators in Kaplansky–Hilbert modules, which is similar to the Schmidt representation of compact operators on Hilbert spaces, as well as a variant of the Fredholm alternative for cyclically compact operators, were also given with Boolean-valued techniques. Thus, the natural problem arises to investigate the class of cyclically compact operators in more details.



A. G. Kusraev established functional representations of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules and  $AW^*$ -algebras of type I by spaces of continuous vector-functions and strongly continuous operator-functions, respectively.

Cyclically compact sets and operators in lattice-normed spaces were introduced by A. G. Kusraev and a preliminary study of this notions was initiated. Cyclical compactness is the Boolean-valued interpretation of compactness and it also deserves an independent study. In [5] a general form of cyclically compact operators in Kaplansky–Hilbert modules, which is similar to the Schmidt representation of compact operators on Hilbert spaces, as well as a variant of the Fredholm alternative for cyclically compact operators, were also given with Boolean-valued techniques. Thus, the natural problem arises to investigate the class of cyclically compact operators in more details.

A. G. Kusraev established functional representations of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules and  $AW^*$ -algebras of type I by spaces of continuous vector-functions and strongly continuous operator-functions, respectively.

Cyclically compact sets and operators in lattice-normed spaces were introduced by A. G. Kusraev and a preliminary study of this notions was initiated. Cyclical compactness is the Boolean-valued interpretation of compactness and it also deserves an independent study. In [5] a general form of cyclically compact operators in Kaplansky–Hilbert modules, which is similar to the Schmidt representation of compact operators on Hilbert spaces, as well as a variant of the Fredholm alternative for cyclically compact operators, were also given with Boolean-valued techniques. Thus, the natural problem arises to investigate the class of cyclically compact operators in more details.

- **Definition.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Stone algebra and  $X$  be a  $\Lambda$ -module. The mapping  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -*valued inner product*, if for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$  and  $a$  in  $\Lambda$  the following are satisfied:
  - (1)  $\langle x | x \rangle \geq 0$ ;  $\langle x | x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;
  - (2)  $\langle x | y \rangle = \langle y | x \rangle^*$ ;
  - (3)  $\langle ax | y \rangle = a \langle x | y \rangle$ ;
  - (4)  $\langle x + y | z \rangle = \langle x | z \rangle + \langle y | z \rangle$ .
- The Cauchy–Bunyakovskiĭ–Schwarz inequality is valid

$$|\langle x | y \rangle| \leq |x| |y|.$$

- We can introduce the norms in  $X$  by the formulas

$$|x| := \sqrt{\langle x | x \rangle}, \quad |||x||| := \|\langle x | x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- **Definition.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Stone algebra and  $X$  be a  $\Lambda$ -module. The mapping  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -*valued inner product*, if for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$  and  $a$  in  $\Lambda$  the following are satisfied:
  - (1)  $\langle x | x \rangle \geq 0$ ;  $\langle x | x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;
  - (2)  $\langle x | y \rangle = \langle y | x \rangle^*$ ;
  - (3)  $\langle ax | y \rangle = a \langle x | y \rangle$ ;
  - (4)  $\langle x + y | z \rangle = \langle x | z \rangle + \langle y | z \rangle$ .
- The Cauchy–Bunyakovskiĭ–Schwarz inequality is valid

$$|\langle x | y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

- We can introduce the norms in  $X$  by the formulas

$$\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x | x \rangle}, \quad \| \|x\| \| := \| \langle x | x \rangle \|^{1/2}.$$

- **Definition.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Stone algebra and  $X$  be a  $\Lambda$ -module. The mapping  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$  is a  $\Lambda$ -*valued inner product*, if for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$  and  $a$  in  $\Lambda$  the following are satisfied:
  - (1)  $\langle x | x \rangle \geq 0$ ;  $\langle x | x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;
  - (2)  $\langle x | y \rangle = \langle y | x \rangle^*$ ;
  - (3)  $\langle ax | y \rangle = a \langle x | y \rangle$ ;
  - (4)  $\langle x + y | z \rangle = \langle x | z \rangle + \langle y | z \rangle$ .
- The Cauchy–Bunyakovskii–Schwarz inequality is valid

$$|\langle x | y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

- We can introduce the norms in  $X$  by the formulas

$$\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x | x \rangle}, \quad \| \|x\| \| := \| \langle x | x \rangle \|^{1/2}.$$

# Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- We call  $X$  a  $C^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  if it is complete with respect to the mixed norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .
- A *Kaplansky–Hilbert module* or an  $AW^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -module satisfying the following two properties:
  - (1) let  $x \in X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$  with  $e_\xi x = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ ; then  $x = 0$ ;
  - (2) let  $(x_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a norm-bounded family in  $X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$ ; then there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $e_\xi x = e_\xi x_\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ .
- It follows from (1) that the element  $x$  of (2) is unique; we shall write  $x = \text{mix}_{\xi \in \Xi} (e_\xi x_\xi)$ .
- Throughout the rest of this talk, the letters  $X$  and  $Y$  denote Kaplansky–Hilbert modules over  $\Lambda$ .

- We call  $X$  a  $C^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  if it is complete with respect to the mixed norm  $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ .
- A *Kaplansky–Hilbert module* or an  $AW^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -module satisfying the following two properties:
  - (1) let  $x \in X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$  with  $e_\xi x = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ ; then  $x = 0$ ;
  - (2) let  $(x_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a norm-bounded family in  $X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$ ; then there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $e_\xi x = e_\xi x_\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ .
- It follows from (1) that the element  $x$  of (2) is unique; we shall write  $x = \text{mix}_{\xi \in \Xi} (e_\xi x_\xi)$ .
- Throughout the rest of this talk, the letters  $X$  and  $Y$  denote Kaplansky–Hilbert modules over  $\Lambda$ .

- We call  $X$  a  $C^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  if it is complete with respect to the mixed norm  $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ .
- A *Kaplansky–Hilbert module* or an  $AW^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -module satisfying the following two properties:
  - (1) let  $x \in X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$  with  $e_\xi x = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ ; then  $x = 0$ ;
  - (2) let  $(x_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a norm-bounded family in  $X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$ ; then there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $e_\xi x = e_\xi x_\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ .
- It follows from (1) that the element  $x$  of (2) is unique; we shall write  $x = \text{mix}_{\xi \in \Xi} (e_\xi x_\xi)$ .
- Throughout the rest of this talk, the letters  $X$  and  $Y$  denote Kaplansky–Hilbert modules over  $\Lambda$ .



- We call  $X$  a  $C^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  if it is complete with respect to the mixed norm  $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ .
- A *Kaplansky–Hilbert module* or an  $AW^*$ -*module* over  $\Lambda$  is a  $C^*$ -module satisfying the following two properties:
  - (1) let  $x \in X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$  with  $e_\xi x = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ ; then  $x = 0$ ;
  - (2) let  $(x_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a norm-bounded family in  $X$ , and let  $(e_\xi)_{\xi \in \Xi}$  be a partition of unity in  $P(\Lambda)$ ; then there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $e_\xi x = e_\xi x_\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ .
- It follows from (1) that the element  $x$  of (2) is unique; we shall write  $x = \text{mix}_{\xi \in \Xi} (e_\xi x_\xi)$ .
- Throughout the rest of this talk, the letters  $X$  and  $Y$  denote Kaplansky–Hilbert modules over  $\Lambda$ .

**Definition.** A subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $X$  is said to be *orthonormal* (*projection orthonormal*) if

- (1)  $\langle x | y \rangle = 0$  for all distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x | x \rangle = \mathbf{1}$  ( $\langle x | x \rangle \in P(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ ) for every  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ .

An orthonormal (projection orthonormal) set  $\mathcal{E} \subset X$  is a *basis* (*projection basis*) for  $X$  provided that

- (3) the condition  $(\forall e \in \mathcal{E}) \langle x | e \rangle = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -*homogeneous* if  $X$  has a basis of cardinality  $\lambda$ .
  - $X$  is called *homogeneous* if it is  $\lambda$ -homogeneous.

**Definition.** A subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $X$  is said to be *orthonormal* (*projection orthonormal*) if

- (1)  $\langle x | y \rangle = 0$  for all distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x | x \rangle = \mathbf{1}$  ( $\langle x | x \rangle \in P(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ ) for every  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ .

An orthonormal (projection orthonormal) set  $\mathcal{E} \subset X$  is a *basis* (*projection basis*) for  $X$  provided that

- (3) the condition  $(\forall e \in \mathcal{E}) \langle x | e \rangle = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -*homogeneous* if  $X$  has a basis of cardinality  $\lambda$ .
  - $X$  is called *homogeneous* if it is  $\lambda$ -homogeneous.

**Definition.** A subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $X$  is said to be *orthonormal* (*projection orthonormal*) if

- (1)  $\langle x | y \rangle = 0$  for all distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x | x \rangle = \mathbf{1}$  ( $\langle x | x \rangle \in P(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ ) for every  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ .

An orthonormal (projection orthonormal) set  $\mathcal{E} \subset X$  is a *basis* (*projection basis*) for  $X$  provided that

- (3) the condition  $(\forall e \in \mathcal{E}) \langle x | e \rangle = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -*homogeneous* if  $X$  has a basis of cardinality  $\lambda$ .
  - $X$  is called *homogeneous* if it is  $\lambda$ -homogeneous.

**Definition.** A subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $X$  is said to be *orthonormal* (*projection orthonormal*) if

- (1)  $\langle x | y \rangle = 0$  for all distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle x | x \rangle = \mathbf{1}$  ( $\langle x | x \rangle \in P(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ ) for every  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ .

An orthonormal (projection orthonormal) set  $\mathcal{E} \subset X$  is a *basis* (*projection basis*) for  $X$  provided that

- (3) the condition  $(\forall e \in \mathcal{E}) \langle x | e \rangle = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .
- $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -*homogeneous* if  $X$  has a basis of cardinality  $\lambda$ .
  - $X$  is called *homogeneous* if it is  $\lambda$ -homogeneous.

Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$

Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$

Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$



Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$

Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$

Let  $Q$  be an extremal compact space and  $E$  be a normed space. Vector-functions  $u : \text{dom } u \rightarrow E$  and  $v : \text{dom } v \rightarrow E$  are equivalent

$$\text{if } u(t) = v(t) \text{ whenever } t \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v$$

where  $\text{dom } u$  and  $\text{dom } v$  are comeager subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  be the set of the equivalence classes of bounded continuous vector-functions  $u$ . The set  $C_{\#}(Q, E)$  is endowed, in a natural way, with the structure of a module over  $C(Q)$ . Note that each bounded continuous function  $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  admits a unique continuous extension  $\bar{f} : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we can introduce the vector norm  $|\cdot| : C_{\#}(Q, E) \rightarrow C(Q)$  by the formula

$$|\tilde{u}|(q) = \|u(q)\|, \quad (q \in \text{dom } u).$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the inner product of  $H$ . Then we can introduce some  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product in  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . The function

$$q \mapsto \langle u(q), v(q) \rangle \quad (q \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v)$$

is continuous and admits a unique continuous extension  $z \in C(Q)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are the equivalence classes containing vector-functions  $u$  and  $v$  then assign

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle := z.$$

Hence,  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$  is a  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product and

$$\|x\| = \left| \sqrt{\langle x \mid x \rangle} \right| \quad (x \in C_{\#}(Q, H)).$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the inner product of  $H$ . Then we can introduce some  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product in  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . The function

$$q \mapsto \langle u(q), v(q) \rangle \quad (q \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v)$$

is continuous and admits a unique continuous extension  $z \in C(Q)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are the equivalence classes containing vector-functions  $u$  and  $v$  then assign

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle := z.$$

Hence,  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$  is a  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product and

$$\|x\| = \left| \sqrt{\langle x \mid x \rangle} \right| \quad (x \in C_{\#}(Q, H)).$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the inner product of  $H$ . Then we can introduce some  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product in  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . The function

$$q \mapsto \langle u(q), v(q) \rangle \quad (q \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v)$$

is continuous and admits a unique continuous extension  $z \in C(Q)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are the equivalence classes containing vector-functions  $u$  and  $v$  then assign

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle := z.$$

Hence,  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$  is a  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product and

$$\|x\| = \left| \sqrt{\langle x \mid x \rangle} \right| \quad (x \in C_{\#}(Q, H)).$$

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the inner product of  $H$ . Then we can introduce some  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product in  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . The function

$$q \mapsto \langle u(q), v(q) \rangle \quad (q \in \text{dom } u \cap \text{dom } v)$$

is continuous and admits a unique continuous extension  $z \in C(Q)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are the equivalence classes containing vector-functions  $u$  and  $v$  then assign

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle := z.$$

Hence,  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$  is a  $C(Q)$ -valued inner product and

$$\|x\| = \left| \sqrt{\langle x \mid x \rangle} \right| \quad (x \in C_{\#}(Q, H)).$$

**Theorem.** [5, 7.4.8.(1)] Suppose that  $Q$  is an extremal compact space, and  $H$  is a Hilbert space of dimension  $\lambda$ . The space  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  is a  $\lambda$ -homogeneous Kaplansky–Hilbert module over the algebra  $C(Q)$ .



The following result on functional representation of Kaplansky–Hilbert modules is one of the main tools of our investigation.

**Theorem.**[5, Theorem 7.4.12.] *To each Kaplansky–Hilbert module  $X$  over  $\Lambda$  there is a family of nonempty extremal compact spaces  $(Q_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  with  $\Gamma$  a set of cardinals, such that  $Q_\gamma$  is  $\gamma$ -stable for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and the following unitary equivalence holds:*

$$X \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C_\#(Q_\gamma, \ell_2(\gamma)).$$

*If some family  $(P_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$  of extremal compact spaces satisfies the above conditions then  $\Gamma = \Delta$  and  $P_\gamma$  is homeomorphic with  $Q_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

# Cyclically Compact Sets in Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra. Denote by  $\text{Prt}(B)$  the set of sequences  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$  which are partitions of unity in  $B$ . For  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , the formula  $\nu_1 \ll \nu_2$  abbreviates the following assertion:

if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu_1(m) \wedge \nu_2(n) \neq 0_B$  then  $m < n$ .

Given a mix-complete subset  $K \subset X$ , a sequence  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$ , and a partition  $\nu \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , put  $s_\nu := \text{mix}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\nu(n)s(n))$ . A *cyclic subsequence* of  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$  is any sequence of the form  $(s_{\nu_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Prt}(B)$  and  $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \nu_k \ll \nu_{k+1}$ . A subset  $C \subset X$  is said to be *cyclically compact* if  $C$  is mix-complete and every sequence in  $C$  has a cyclic subsequence that converges (in norm) to some element of  $C$ . A subset in  $X$  is called *relatively cyclically compact* if it is contained in a cyclically compact set.

# Cyclically Compact Sets in Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra. Denote by  $\text{Prt}(B)$  the set of sequences  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$  which are partitions of unity in  $B$ . For  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , the formula  $\nu_1 \ll \nu_2$  abbreviates the following assertion:

if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu_1(m) \wedge \nu_2(n) \neq 0_B$  then  $m < n$ .

Given a mix-complete subset  $K \subset X$ , a sequence  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$ , and a partition  $\nu \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , put  $s_\nu := \text{mix}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\nu(n)s(n))$ . A *cyclic subsequence* of  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$  is any sequence of the form  $(s_{\nu_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Prt}(B)$  and  $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \nu_k \ll \nu_{k+1}$ . A subset  $C \subset X$  is said to be *cyclically compact* if  $C$  is mix-complete and every sequence in  $C$  has a cyclic subsequence that converges (in norm) to some element of  $C$ . A subset in  $X$  is called *relatively cyclically compact* if it is contained in a cyclically compact set.

Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra. Denote by  $\text{Prt}(B)$  the set of sequences  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$  which are partitions of unity in  $B$ . For  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , the formula  $\nu_1 \ll \nu_2$  abbreviates the following assertion:

if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu_1(m) \wedge \nu_2(n) \neq 0_B$  then  $m < n$ .

Given a mix-complete subset  $K \subset X$ , a sequence  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$ , and a partition  $\nu \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , put  $s_\nu := \text{mix}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\nu(n)s(n))$ . A **cyclic subsequence** of  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$  is any sequence of the form  $(s_{\nu_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Prt}(B)$  and  $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \nu_k \ll \nu_{k+1}$ . A subset  $C \subset X$  is said to be **cyclically compact** if  $C$  is mix-complete and every sequence in  $C$  has a cyclic subsequence that converges (in norm) to some element of  $C$ . A subset in  $X$  is called **relatively cyclically compact** if it is contained in a cyclically compact set.

Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra. Denote by  $\text{Prt}(B)$  the set of sequences  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$  which are partitions of unity in  $B$ . For  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , the formula  $\nu_1 \ll \nu_2$  abbreviates the following assertion:

if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu_1(m) \wedge \nu_2(n) \neq 0_B$  then  $m < n$ .

Given a mix-complete subset  $K \subset X$ , a sequence  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$ , and a partition  $\nu \in \text{Prt}(B)$ , put  $s_\nu := \text{mix}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\nu(n)s(n))$ . A *cyclic subsequence* of  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K$  is any sequence of the form  $(s_{\nu_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{Prt}(B)$  and  $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \nu_k \ll \nu_{k+1}$ . A subset  $C \subset X$  is said to be *cyclically compact* if  $C$  is mix-complete and every sequence in  $C$  has a cyclic subsequence that converges (in norm) to some element of  $C$ . A subset in  $X$  is called *relatively cyclically compact* if it is contained in a cyclically compact set.

- Define  $K(q) := \{x(q) : \tilde{x} \in K, q \in \text{dom}(x)\}$   
( $q \in Q, K \subset C_{\#}(Q, H)$ ).
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . Then there exists a comeager set  $Q_0 \subset Q$  such that  $K(q)$  is precompact in  $H$  for all  $q \in Q_0$ .*
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a mix-complete subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  and  $Q_0 \subset Q$  be a comeager subset. If  $K_q$  is a compact set in  $H$  for  $q \in Q_0$  and*

$$K \subset \{\tilde{x} \in C_{\#}(Q, H) : x(q) \in K_q (\forall q \in \text{dom}(x) \cap Q_0)\},$$

*then  $K$  is relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ .*

- As a corollary of the above proposition, the closed unit ball of  $\Lambda$  is a cyclically compact set.

- Define  $K(q) := \{x(q) : \tilde{x} \in K, q \in \text{dom}(x)\}$   
( $q \in Q, K \subset C_{\#}(Q, H)$ ).
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . Then there exists a comeager set  $Q_0 \subset Q$  such that  $K(q)$  is precompact in  $H$  for all  $q \in Q_0$ .*
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a mix-complete subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  and  $Q_0 \subset Q$  be a comeager subset. If  $K_q$  is a compact set in  $H$  for  $q \in Q_0$  and*

$$K \subset \{\tilde{x} \in C_{\#}(Q, H) : x(q) \in K_q (\forall q \in \text{dom}(x) \cap Q_0)\},$$

*then  $K$  is relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ .*

- As a corollary of the above proposition, the closed unit ball of  $\Lambda$  is a cyclically compact set.

- Define  $K(q) := \{x(q) : \tilde{x} \in K, q \in \text{dom}(x)\}$   
( $q \in Q, K \subset C_{\#}(Q, H)$ ).
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . Then there exists a comeager set  $Q_0 \subset Q$  such that  $K(q)$  is precompact in  $H$  for all  $q \in Q_0$ .*
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a mix-complete subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  and  $Q_0 \subset Q$  be a comeager subset. If  $K_q$  is a compact set in  $H$  for  $q \in Q_0$  and*

$$K \subset \{\tilde{x} \in C_{\#}(Q, H) : x(q) \in K_q (\forall q \in \text{dom}(x) \cap Q_0)\},$$

*then  $K$  is relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ .*

- As a corollary of the above proposition, the closed unit ball of  $\Lambda$  is a cyclically compact set.



- Define  $K(q) := \{x(q) : \tilde{x} \in K, q \in \text{dom}(x)\}$   
( $q \in Q, K \subset C_{\#}(Q, H)$ ).
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ . Then there exists a comeager set  $Q_0 \subset Q$  such that  $K(q)$  is precompact in  $H$  for all  $q \in Q_0$ .*
- **Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a mix-complete subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$  and  $Q_0 \subset Q$  be a comeager subset. If  $K_q$  is a compact set in  $H$  for  $q \in Q_0$  and*

$$K \subset \{\tilde{x} \in C_{\#}(Q, H) : x(q) \in K_q (\forall q \in \text{dom}(x) \cap Q_0)\},$$

*then  $K$  is relatively cyclically compact subset of  $C_{\#}(Q, H)$ .*

- As a corollary of the above proposition, the closed unit ball of  $\Lambda$  is a cyclically compact set.

# Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- Let  $B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  denote the set of all continuous  $\Lambda$ -linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . For brevity,  $B_\Lambda(X, X)$  will be denoted by  $B_\Lambda(X)$ .
- We call a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$  the *adjoint* of  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  if  $\langle Tx \mid y \rangle = \langle x \mid T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ .
- I. Kaplansky showed that a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if  $T$  has an adjoint [1, Theorem 6].

# Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- Let  $B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  denote the set of all continuous  $\Lambda$ -linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . For brevity,  $B_\Lambda(X, X)$  will be denoted by  $B_\Lambda(X)$ .
- We call a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$  the *adjoint* of  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  if  $\langle Tx \mid y \rangle = \langle x \mid T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ .
- I. Kaplansky showed that a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if  $T$  has an adjoint [1, Theorem 6].

# Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- Let  $B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  denote the set of all continuous  $\Lambda$ -linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . For brevity,  $B_\Lambda(X, X)$  will be denoted by  $B_\Lambda(X)$ .
- We call a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$  the *adjoint* of  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  if  $\langle Tx \mid y \rangle = \langle x \mid T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ .
- I. Kaplansky showed that a  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if  $T$  has an adjoint [1, Theorem 6].

# Cyclically Compact Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- An operator  $T \in B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  is called *cyclically compact* if the image  $T(C)$  of any bounded subset  $C \subset X$  is relatively cyclically compact in  $Y$ .
- The set of all cyclically compact operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

# Cyclically Compact Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

- An operator  $T \in B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  is called *cyclically compact* if the image  $T(C)$  of any bounded subset  $C \subset X$  is relatively cyclically compact in  $Y$ .
- The set of all cyclically compact operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ .

# Cyclically Compact Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

**Theorem.**[5, Theorem 8.5.6.] *Let  $T$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . There are orthonormal families  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ ,  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $Y$ , and a family  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Lambda$  such that the following hold:*

- (1)  $\mu_{k+1} \leq \mu_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\inf \mu_k = 0$ ;
- (2) *the representation is valid*

$$T = \text{bo-} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \theta_{e_k, f_k}.$$

# Cyclically Compact Operators on Kaplansky–Hilbert Modules

**Theorem.** Let  $T$  be in  $B_{\wedge}(X)$  and  $\Theta$  denote the set of all finite subsets of projection basis  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is a cyclically compact operator on  $X$ ;
- (ii) for every projection basis  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $X$ , the net  $(\|T(I - P_F)\|)_{F \in \Theta}$   $o$ -converges to 0, where  $P_F := \sum_{e \in F} \theta_{e,e}$ ;
- (iii) for every projection basis  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $X$ , one has  $\inf \{ \sup \{ \|Te\| : e \in F^c \} : F \in \Theta \} = 0$ ;
- (iv) for every projection basis  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $X$ , one has  $\inf \{ \sup \{ |\langle Te | e \rangle| : e \in F^c \} : F \in \Theta \} = 0$ .



# The Hilbert–Schmidt Class

- **Definition.** The *Hilbert–Schmidt class*  $\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y)$  consists of cyclically compact operators  $T$  such that  $(\mu_k^2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\sigma$ -summable in  $\Lambda$ . Put

$$v_2(T) := \left( \sigma\text{-}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The operators of the class  $\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y)$  are called *Hilbert–Schmidt operators*.

- **Proposition.**  $(\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a Kaplansky–Hilbert module over  $\Lambda$  and the following equality holds,

$$|T| \leq v_2(T) \quad (T \in \mathcal{S}_2(X, Y))$$

where  $|T|$  is exact dominant of  $T$ .

# The Hilbert–Schmidt Class

- **Definition.** The *Hilbert–Schmidt class*  $\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y)$  consists of cyclically compact operators  $T$  such that  $(\mu_k^2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $o$ -summable in  $\Lambda$ . Put

$$v_2(T) := \left( o\text{-}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The operators of the class  $\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y)$  are called *Hilbert–Schmidt operators*.

- **Proposition.**  $(\mathcal{S}_2(X, Y), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a Kaplansky–Hilbert module over  $\Lambda$  and the following equality holds,

$$|T| \leq v_2(T) \quad (T \in \mathcal{S}_2(X, Y))$$

where  $|T|$  is exact dominant of  $T$ .

# The Trace Class

- **Definition.** The *trace class*  $\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y)$  consists of cyclically compact operators  $T$  such that  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $o$ -summable in  $\Lambda$ . We put

$$v_1(T) := o\text{-}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k.$$

The operators of class  $\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y)$  are called *trace class operators*.

- **Proposition.**  $(\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y), v_1(\cdot))$  is a Banach–Kantorovich space and the following equality holds,

$$|T| \leq v_1(T) \quad (T \in \mathcal{S}_1(X, Y))$$

where  $|T|$  is exact dominant of  $T$ .

- **Definition.** The *trace class*  $\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y)$  consists of cyclically compact operators  $T$  such that  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $o$ -summable in  $\Lambda$ . We put

$$v_1(T) := o\text{-}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k.$$

The operators of class  $\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y)$  are called *trace class operators*.

- **Proposition.**  $(\mathcal{S}_1(X, Y), v_1(\cdot))$  is a Banach–Kantorovich space and the following equality holds,

$$|T| \leq v_1(T) \quad (T \in \mathcal{S}_1(X, Y))$$

where  $|T|$  is exact dominant of  $T$ .

**Definition.** For  $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(X)$  define the *trace* of  $T$  by

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) := o\text{-}\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \langle Te \mid e \rangle$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a projection basis of  $X$ .

- Denote by  $\mathcal{X}^*$  the set of all  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $\eta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that there exists  $c \in \Lambda$  with  $|\eta(x)| \leq c|x|$  ( $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ).
- **Theorem.** If  $\varphi : \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$  is defined by

$$\varphi(T)(A) = \text{tr}(TA)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$ , then  $\varphi$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\varphi$  is a bijection  $\Lambda$ -linear operator from  $\mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$  to  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$ ;
- $v_1(T) = |\varphi(T)|$  ( $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$ ).

- Denote by  $\mathcal{X}^*$  the set of all  $\Lambda$ -linear operator  $\eta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that there exists  $c \in \Lambda$  with  $|\eta(x)| \leq c|x|$  ( $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ).
- **Theorem.** If  $\varphi : \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$  is defined by

$$\varphi(T)(A) = \text{tr}(TA)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$ , then  $\varphi$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is a bijection  $\Lambda$ -linear operator from  $\mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$  to  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)^*$ ;
- (ii)  $v_1(T) = |\varphi(T)|$  ( $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$ ).

- **Theorem.**  $\psi : (B_\Lambda(X, Y), |\cdot|) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)^*, |\cdot|_1)$  is defined by

$$\psi(L)(T) = \text{tr}(TL)$$

for all  $L \in B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)$ . Then  $\psi$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\psi$  is a bijection  $\Lambda$ -linear operator from  $B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  to  $\mathcal{S}_1(Y, X)^*$ ;
- (ii)  $|L| = |\psi(L)|_1$  ( $L \in B_\Lambda(X, Y)$ ).



If  $a, b$  are in  $\Lambda$ , then the notation  $a \prec b$  means that  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \notin \text{Sp}(b - a)$ . Let  $\lambda, p$  be in  $\Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 \prec p$ . It is well-known that there exists an extremal compact space  $Q$  such that  $\Lambda$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $C(Q)$ . So,  $\lambda^p$  in  $\Lambda$  can be defined as

$$(\lambda^p)(t) := (\lambda(t))^{p(t)} \quad (t \in Q).$$

If  $a, b$  are in  $\Lambda$ , then the notation  $a \prec b$  means that  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \notin \text{Sp}(b - a)$ . Let  $\lambda, p$  be in  $\Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 \prec p$ . It is well-known that there exists an extremal compact space  $Q$  such that  $\Lambda$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $C(Q)$ . So,  $\lambda^p$  in  $\Lambda$  can be defined as

$$(\lambda^p)(t) := (\lambda(t))^{p(t)} \quad (t \in Q).$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbf{1} \leq p \in \Lambda$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{S}_p(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all cyclically compact operators  $T$  such that  $(\mu_k^p)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $o$ -summable in  $\Lambda$ . We put

$$v_p(T) := \left( o\text{-}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $T$  be a cyclically compact operator from  $X$  to  $Y$  and  $\mathbf{1} \leq p \in \Lambda$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_p(X, Y)$ ;
- (ii)  $(|\langle Te_\alpha | f_\alpha \rangle|^p)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is  $o$ -summable for all projection orthonormal subsets  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  and  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

In this case, for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}_p(X, Y)$ , the following equality holds

$$v_p(T) = \max \left\{ \left( o\text{-}\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} |\langle Te_\alpha | f_\alpha \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \in \Lambda \right\}$$

**Proposition.**[6, Proposition 1.3] *If the mapping  $\sigma : X \times Y \rightarrow \Lambda$  satisfies the properties*

- (i)  $\sigma(\lambda x_1 + \mu x_2, y) = \lambda \sigma(x_1, y) + \mu \sigma(x_2, y)$   
( $x_1, x_2 \in X, y \in Y, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ );
- (ii)  $\sigma(x, \lambda y_1 + \mu y_2) = \lambda^* \sigma(x, y_1) + \mu^* \sigma(x, y_2)$   
( $x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ );
- (iii) *There exists some  $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$  such that  $|\sigma(x, y)| \leq \lambda |x| |y|$*   
( $x \in X, y \in Y$ ),

*then there exists a unique  $A \in B_\Lambda(X, Y)$  such that  $|A| \leq \lambda$  and  $\sigma(x, y) = \langle Ax \mid y \rangle$ .*







**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbf{1} < p, q \in \Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{1}/p + \mathbf{1}/q = \mathbf{1}$ . If  $\phi : (\mathcal{S}_p(X), v_p(\cdot)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_q(X)^*, |\cdot|_q)$  is defined by

$$\phi(T)(S) = \text{tr}(ST)$$

for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}_p(X)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}_q(X)$ , then  $\phi$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\phi$  is a bijection  $\Lambda$ -linear operator from  $\mathcal{S}_p(X)$  to  $\mathcal{S}_q(X)^*$ ;
- (ii)  $v_p(T) = |\phi(T)|_q$  ( $T \in \mathcal{S}_p(X)$ ).

# References

-  [1] I. Kaplansky, *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **75**, No. 4, 839-858, 1953.
-  [2] A.G. Kusraev, *Boolean valued analysis of duality between universally complete modules*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **267**, No. 5, 1049-1052 (1982).
-  [3] A.G. Kusraev, *Vector Duality and Its Applications* [in Russian], Nauka, Novosibirsk (1985).
-  [4] A.G. Kusraev, *Cyclically Compact Operators in Banach Spaces*, Vladikavkaz Math. J. **2**, No. 1, 10-23, 2000.
-  [5] A.G. Kusraev, *Dominated Operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
-  [6] J.D.M. Wright, *A spectral theorem for normal operators on a Kaplansky–Hilbert module*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **19**, No. 3, 258-268 (1969).